



01 1915(e)(2)(B). Section 1915(e) applies to all IFP proceedings, not just those filed by  
02 prisoners. *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000).

03 A review of the Court's filing system reveals that plaintiff has filed some twenty-nine  
04 different actions in this Court. (Dkt. 2). The Court dismissed a number of those cases –  
05 including an identical case raised against the same defendant named here – upon finding that  
06 plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, that the filing was frivolous,  
07 and/or that the Court lacked jurisdiction. *See, e.g., Bobo v. Tracfone Wireless, Inc.*,  
08 C13-071-MJP (Dkts. 3-5); *Bobo v. DSHS*, C12-1992-RAJ (Dkt. 6); *Bobo v. Tulane County*  
09 *District Attorney*, C12-1805-RSL (Dkts. 3-5).

10 As in those prior cases, plaintiff here fails to set forth facts sufficient to state a  
11 cognizable claim against the defendant, fails to set forth any basis for jurisdiction in this Court,  
12 and submits a complaint that appears frivolous on its face. Accordingly, the Court  
13 recommends that plaintiff's IFP application be STRICKEN and this matter DISMISSED with  
14 prejudice. A proposed Order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

15 DATED this 22nd day of May, 2013.

16  
17 

18 Mary Alice Theiler  
19 United States Magistrate Judge  
20  
21  
22